

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispno.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/187,284	11/05/1998	PATRICK LYNN	PLAT1005MCF/	2843	
75	590 08/26/2002				
Richard F Jaworski			EXAMINER		
Cooper & Dunh	f the Americas		GOOD JOHNSON, MOTILEWA		
New York, NY	10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2672	<u>-</u>	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary				1			
		09/187,284	LYNN ET AL.				
	concernation cummany	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Motilewa A. Good-Johnso					
Period for Reply							
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Pensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 PSIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pen period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply one priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commoderate (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J	uly 2002 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
· _	ion of Claims	li-aki					
4)[]	Claim(s) <u>1-26 and 29-37</u> is/are pending in the	• •					
5.\□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vii iroin consideration.					
	5)∭ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26, 29-37</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
•	ion Papers	4					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
* ;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ge			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachmer	nt(s)						
2) D Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-15				

Application/Control Number: 09/187,284 Page 2

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: application, filed on 11/05/1998; Amendment A, filed on 06/27/2000; Preliminary Amendment B, filed on 09/24/2001; Amendment C, filed on 10/01/2001.

This action is made final.

- 2. Claims 1-26 and 29-37 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 10, 14, 20, 26, 31-33 and 35 are independent claims. Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 19-21 and 25 have been amended. Claims 26-33 have been added.
- 3. The present title of the application is "Method and Apparatus for Interfacing with Intelligent Three-Dimensional Components" (as originally filed).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-26 and 29-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carey, U.S. Patent Number 5,977,978, in view of Nahaboo et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,974,253.

Art Unit: 2672

As per independent claim 1, a method of interfacing with a three-dimensional object that is displayed, said method comprising: defining said three-dimensional object as a component with a component interface . . . containing an intelligent content; Carey et al. discloses in col. 3, lines 40-43; displaying said component interface; Carey et al. discloses in col. 3, lines 9-12; and interfacing with said three-dimensional object through said component interface. Carey et al. discloses in col. 3, lines 12-14.

However, it is noted that Carey fails to disclose interfacing with three-dimensional content and interfacing content, without external interfacing scripting. Nahaboo et al. discloses generating an interactive interface description tool, col. 1, lines 35-39. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention of Carey to include interactive interface description tool of Nahaboo to develop programs that are user-friendly and to further allow for necessary changes to the activation of an operation using a different context or user.

With respect to dependent claim 2, defining . . . comprises: defining said component in a three-dimensional content language; Carey et al. discloses in col. 5, lines 40-43; . . . at least one property to describe said component; Carey et al. discloses in col. 5, lines 55-60; and . . . at least one route to interface said component with a second component . . . Carey et al. discloses in col. 6, lines 14-15.

With respect to dependent claims 3, 13, 16 and 22, . . . three-dimensional content language is a virtual reality modeling language. Carey et al. discloses in col. 1, lines 7-14.

Art Unit: 2672

With respect to dependent claim 4, . . . at least one property is selected from the group consisting of color, shape, transformation, behavioral, event handling and grouping. Carey et al. discloses in col. 3, lines 25-28.

With respect to dependent claim 5, . . . at least one route is selected from the group consisting of event and action as an event model for the component.

Carey et al. discloses in col. 5, lines 65-67.

With respect to dependent claim 6, ... component interface is selected form the group consisting of group, pickable, transformable, colorable and texture.

Carey et al. discloses in col. 3, lines 15-28.

With respect to dependent claim 7, . . . component interface is selected from the group consisting of a smart property list, a smart property, a smart widget, a smart factory, a property, a property list, and extension and an extension factory. Carey et al. discloses in col. 6, lines 14-35.

With respect to dependent claim 8, . . . displaying said component interface comprises displaying said component interface on a cathode ray tube display.

Carey et al. discloses in col. 2, lines 58-62.

With respect to dependent claim 9, . . . interfacing with said component comprises: providing a plurality of component interfaces; Carey et al. discloses in col. 3, lines 60-61; selecting one of said plurality of component interfaces to access said intelligent content; Carey et al. discloses in col. 3, lines 61-65; and interfacing with said three-dimensional object . . . Carey et al. discloses in col. 3, lines 66-67.

Art Unit: 2672

As per independent claim 10, an apparatus for interfacing with a threedimensional object . . ., it is rejected based upon similar rational as above independent claim 1, a method of interfacing with a three-dimensional object . . .

With respect to dependent claims 11-12, see above rejection for dependent claims 2 and 8 respectively.

As per independent claim 14, a computer system for interfacing with a three-dimensional object . . ., it is rejected based upon similar rational as above independent claim 1, a method of interfacing with a three-dimensional object . . .

With respect to dependent claims 15, 17-19, see above rejection for dependent claims 2, 6, 7 and 9 respectively.

As per independent claim 20, a computer readable medium having a computer program stored thereon . . ., it is rejected based upon similar rational as above independent claim 1, a method of interfacing with a three-dimensional object

With respect to dependent claims 21, 23-25, see above rejection for dependent claims 2, 6, 7 and 9 respectively.

With respect to dependent claims 26-33, see above rejection for dependent claim 2 above.

With respect to dependent claim 34, . . . component interface is interactive with said three-dimensional content through said interfacing content." Nahaboo discloses in col. 3, lines 40-67.

Art Unit: 2672

As per independent claim 35, "a method for interfacing with a three-dimensional object . . . comprising: defining a three-dimensional object as a component . . . ; Carey discloses in col. 3, lines 40-43; transmitting at least a portion of said component over a network; and displaying a component interface . . ."

However, it is noted that Carey fails to disclose transmitting at least a portion over a network and displaying a component interface. Nahaboo discloses in col. 1, lines 29-39, an interface development tool for use in UNIX systems, and further discloses in col. 7, lines 66-67, changing the appearance of the interface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include transmitting and displaying interfaces from the disclosure of Nahaboo to said interfaces in the disclosure of Carey to create programs that are user friendly and to further allow for necessary changes to the activation of an operation using a different context or user.

With respect to dependent claims 36 and 37, "... transmitted portion of said component is at least a portion of said three-dimensional content (interfacing content)." However, it is noted that both Carey and Nahaboo fail to disclose transmitted portion is at least a portion of three-dimensional content or interfacing content. Carey discloses in col. 5, lines 45-48, use of a collaborative environment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include transmitting portions of three-dimensional content and interfacing content to create programs that are user friendly and to further allow for necessary changes to the activation of an operation using a different context or user.

Art Unit: 2672

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 06/27/2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the cited art fails to teach a component being defined by a three-dimensional content language and interfacing content with the three-dimensional content without external interfacing scripting. Carey discloses setting three-dimensional scenes generated by a user by interactively selecting a stage, having a predefined environment, thus meeting the limitations of a defining a three-dimensional object having intelligent content and interfacing with the three-dimensional object through component interface. Nahaboo further discloses in col. 5, lines the interpreter is written in an object-oriented manner and the objects are further classified or typed internally, col. 5, lines 11-13, thus meeting the claim limitation of without external interface scripting. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that both invention use object oriented program language and thus making it obvious to modify other said programming languages to develop programs that are user-friendly.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2672

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Motilewa A. Good-Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3939. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 AM -

5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Motilewa A. Good-Johnson

Page 8

Examiner Art Unit 2672

mgj August 13, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER

My a. Bries